

## Data policy issues

- What protection for high-resolution climate observations supplied for the EURRA project?
- What data policy for the deliverables of the EURRA project?
  - 10km resolution products
  - 2 km resolution products
  - 2D surface products

## Organisation of the project

- The coordinator/EEA contact
  - Chosen by consensus or in a competition organized by EEA?
  - In case of competition, ECMWF could act as scientific adviser of EEA for this choice
  - Try to reach a decision on the coordinator by April 2006?
  - Should we have a different coordinator for the preparation of the project and the project itself?
- Final decision on the method and the coordinator belongs to EEA

- Final formulation of WPs and deliverables
  - Need to be agreed by EEA and by the Coordinator (and possibly also by the scientific adviser)
  - 3Q2006?
- Attribution of WPs
  - Could be done by consensus, or by informal tendering process (decision by Coordinator), or by formal tender (decision by the EEA, with the Coordinator, and possibly other bodies acting as advisers, and a formal review process)
  - Staged approach: attribute by end 2006 the WPs for 2007-2008 only

- Offers of partners to be evaluated based on
  - Existing expertise by the partner
  - Relevancy of proposed tools
  - Funding requested
  - Capacity to deliver on time
- Deliverables will need to be defined very precisely and be compatible with global funding available
  - It will be a serious effort to finalize description of the WPs and deliverables
  - Each WP should be formulated with a maximum possible funding

## Role of ECMWF

- Produce a report based on this meeting, describing
  - The existing expertise in the community
  - A possible organisation of the project in WPs
  - Not a quantified description of the deliverables (this should be the work of the Coordinator and be funded)
- The report will be available to EEA and the EUMETNET members
- Any future role to be agreed between EEA, EUMETNET and ECMWF